

n the United States Patent and Trademark Office

William G. Reeves et al. Docket No.: 13893B

Applicant: Serial No.:

10/039.232

Group No.:

1771

Confirmation No.: 7301

Examiner:

Lynda Salvatore

Filed:

December 31, 2001

For:

DURABLY HYDROPHILIC, NON-LEACHING COATING FOR

HYDROPHOBIC SUBSTANCES

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)(2) **Before Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance** Fee

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** 

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.56 and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97 et seq., Applicants, through and by their attorneys, hereby wish to direct the Examiner's attention to the documents listed on the attached modified PTO 1449 Form.

By inclusion of any given document in this Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants in no way admit that such document is effective as prior art against the above-identified application under either 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103.

Additionally, submission of any document is not to be taken as an admission of the materiality of the document to the prosecution of the present application.

The Examiner is requested to review each cited document and personally determine its prior art status.

Applicants recommend that the Examiner conduct an independent search for any and all available material prior art and independently review the results of such search and the documents cited within this Statement.

A completed modified PTO-1449 form listing the documents to which the Examiner's attention is directed and copies of those documents are presented herewith for the Examiner's review and convenience.

Serial No.: 10/039,232

Applicants request the Examiner, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, to indicate and make of record receipt and review of all of these documents by initialing the appropriate box in the accompanying PTO-1449. Applicants request that the undersigned receive a copy of the initialed PTO-1449.

If the Examiner refuses to consider any or all of the herein submitted materials because it is the Examiner's opinion that this Information Disclosure Statement is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner notify the undersigned, in writing, as to the basis of such opinion.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(p), please charge the \$180.00 fee for filing this Information Disclosure Statement to Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. deposit account number 11-0875. Please charge any other prosecutional fees which are due to Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. deposit account number 11-0875.

The undersigned may be reached at 770-587-8096.

Respectfully submitted,						
William	G.	Reeve	s	et	al.	

By: William D. Herrick

Registration No.: 25,468

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I, William D. Herrick, hereby certify that on 16-51-61 this document and above-referenced enclosures is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Ву:

William D. Herrick

## List of Patents and Publications for Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant(s): William G. Reeves et al. Docket No.: 13893B Serial No.: 10/039,232 Group: 1771

Filed: December 31, 2001 Examiner: Lynda Salvatore

			<u>U.S.</u>	Patent Documents				
Initials		Doc. No.	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing	Date
	A1	3,338,992	08/1967	Kinney				
	A2	3,341,394	09/1967	Kinney				
	А3	3,502,538	03/1970	Petersen				
	A4	3,502,763	03/1970	Hartman				
	A5	3,542,615	11/1970	Dobo et al.				
	A6	3,692,618	09/1972	Dorschner et al.				
	A7	4,970,298	11/1990	Silver et al.				
_	A8	5,755,814	05/1998	Berg et al.				
	A9	6,261,679	07/2001	Chen et al.				
	A10	6,306,334	10/2001	Luo et al.				
	A11	:						
	A12							••
	A13							
	A14							
120			Foreig	n Patent Documents	. ==			
							Tra	ns.
Initials		Doc. No.	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
	A1							
	A2							
			0	ther Documents				
Initials		Author, Title, Date, Pages, etc.						
3	A1	Hudson, Samuel M. and John A. Cuculo, "The 'Solubility of Unmodified Cellulose: A Critique of the Literature," <u>Journal of Macromolecular Science - Reviews in Macromolecular Chemistry</u> , C18, No. 1, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1980, pp. 1-82.						
	A2	Turbak, A.F. et al., "A Critical Review of Cellulose Solvent Systems," American Chemical Society Conference Symposium, Ser. No. 58, 1977, Chapter 2, pp. 12-24.						
	А3	TAPPI Official Test Method T 203 om-88, "Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Cellulose in Pulp," published by the TAPPI Press, Atlanta, Georgia, revised 1988, correction 1992, pp. 1-3.						

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with your next communication.

	<b>D</b> ( <b>D</b> -!-  -
Examiner:	Date Considered:

Form PTO-1449 (Modified)